Luminescence dating of lacustrine sediments from Tangra Yumco (southern Tibetan Plateau) using post-IR IRSL signals from polymineral grains
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There are over 1000 lakes (larger than 1 km$^2$) distributed across the Tibetan Plateau (TP; Wang & Dou 1998). These can provide quantitative sediment archives for deciphering spatial and temporal patterns of past climate change. Many of these lakes yield high-quality palaeoclimate records for the Lateglacial and Holocene, but there are still disagreements and uncertainty concerning the spatial and temporal patterns of past climate changes, and the associated forcing mechanisms (Hou et al. 2012). Accurate and reliable dating of lacustrine deposits is of crucial importance in both the reconstruction of palaeolake/palaeoclimate evolution and the understanding of the mechanisms of climate changes (Long et al. 2011). Radiocarbon dating has been the most commonly used method for establishing chronologies of lake sediments (Walker 2005). However, environmental and climate reconstructions based on lake records from relatively dry and cold regions such as the TP are commonly hampered by the sparse terrestrial vegetation in the catchments and the resulting lack of terrestrial plant remains in lake sediments suitable for radiocarbon dating (Mischke et al. 2013). Thus, bulk organic matter has been routinely used for radiocarbon dating, which can be problematic because these bulk sediments often suffer from contamination from sources of ‘old carbon’ (carbon reservoir effect). The approaches commonly used to estimate the reservoir effect for TP lakes have been (i) to determine the radiocarbon ages of a lake sediment surface sample or core-top sample (Kasper et al. 2012; Mischke et al. 2013), or (ii) linear extrapolation of core age-depth data up to the lake sediment surface (Mügler et al. 2010; Hou et al. 2012). However, the first method does not take into account the post-bomb radiocarbon in the atmosphere during the period of sediment accumulation although $^{14}$C levels have been significantly higher in recent decades in comparison to the atmospheric $^{14}$C activity in 1950 used for reporting of radiocarbon dating results (Hua 2009). For the second method, the assumption that the sediment accumulation rates remain constant close to the sediment–water interface may often be inappropriate because of significant variations in sediment water content and organic matter degradation (Mischke et al. 2013). Further-
more, both methods assume a constant reservoir effect and thus do not consider its variability through time. Our recent work suggested that carbon reservoir effects may be variable through time on long time scales in a lake sedimentary succession (Long et al. 2012a). As a result, it is difficult to assess the lake reservoir effect accurately in the TP lakes because of its variability in different lakes and even at different sites within individual lakes (Kasper et al. 2012; Mischke et al. 2013).

Lake reservoir effects can either be assessed or ignored if independent age data are available (e.g. Long et al. 2011; Rades et al. 2013). Over the past one and a half decades, advances in luminescence dating have provided a new chronological tool for lacustrine sediments (Haberzettl et al. 2009; Lang & Zolitschka 2001; Long et al. 2011 and references therein; Lukas et al. 2012; Shanahan et al. 2013). Recently, numerous studies have been published describing the use of this dating technique on many types of lake deposits from the TP and adjacent areas. For instance, Lee et al. (2011) and Long et al. (2011) applied coupled radiocarbon and optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating to quartz in shallow lake sediments from the northern foreland of the TP. They suggested that when aeolian processes are the dominant means of sediment deposition, especially in lakes of arid environments, OSL dating is superior to radiocarbon dating. In order to reconstruct lake evolution since the Late Pleistocene, quartz OSL proved to be a very robust dating technique in lake beach/terrace sediments from the TP (e.g. Madsen et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2009; Long et al. 2012b).

However, there are few luminescence dating studies of lacustrine records from deep lakes in this area. The aim of the current study was to test the applicability of luminescence techniques in dating late Holocene deep-lake sediments, which could provide the opportunity to independently test other chronologies.

In the last decade, quartz has generally been considered as the preferred dosimeter in luminescence dating of Holocene sediments (Murray & Olley 2002; Madsen & Murray 2009). However, quartz OSL with low sensitivity exists in several parts of the world, particularly where the quartz grains have only recently been released from the source rock (Preusser et al. 2009). Such quartz mineral is not suitable for luminescence dating because of the dim OSL signal, especially for young samples (<10 ka), which results in a poor signal to noise ratio and thus a broad distribution of equivalent doses (D_{eq}; e.g. Davids et al. 2010). By contrast, coarse grain (CG) (e.g. 100–200 μm) K-rich feldspar minerals could provide very bright and reproducible infrared-stimulated luminescence (IRSL) signals from samples for which quartz produces nearly undetectable OSL signals (e.g. Madsen et al. 2011). However, the single greatest factor that has prevented the widespread uptake of luminescence dating using feldspar is the phenomenon of anomalous fading (Wintle 1973). Anomalous fading is the decay of an unstable luminescence signal, which, if uncorrected, leads to an underestimation of the true age of the sample. Although a number of methods has been proposed to measure the rates of anomalous fading (Aitken 1985) and to correct for anomalous fading (Huntley & Lamothe 2001; Lamothe et al. 2003), all correction models have several inherent assumptions (e.g. Morthekai et al. 2008) and thus there has been no universally agreed model owing to its complexity.

Recently, advances have been achieved in isolating a luminescence signal from feldspar, which is less affected by anomalous fading. Thomsen et al. (2008) first reported that the IRSL signal observed at an elevated temperature (here called post-IR IRSL signal, abbreviated pIRIR) following a prior infrared (IR) stimulation at 50°C is more stable and has a much lower fading rate than the conventional IRSL signal measured at 50°C (abbreviated IRSL_{50}) for the same CG feldspar samples. Thereafter, an increasing number of methodological studies as well as dating applications have been presented using this new protocol for CG feldspar from different kinds of sediments, suggesting the protocol to be very promising, although it was mainly developed for old samples (i.e. beyond ~100 ka). The pIRIR protocol was also applied on polymineral fine grains (4–11 μm, FG) from loess samples (e.g. Buylaert et al. 2011; Stevens et al. 2011; Thiel et al. 2011; Roberts 2012). Due to strong thermal quenching and the low cross-section of quartz to IR, the IRSL signal of the 4–11 μm fraction at elevated temperature is completely dominated by luminescence from feldspars (Buylaert et al. 2012), especially from Na-rich feldspar (Tsukamoto et al. 2012). Buylaert et al. (2012) summarized the pIRIR_{290} ages derived from CG K-feldspar extracts or FG polymineral from a variety of sedimentary samples around the world; by comparison with the independent ages, they confirmed the robustness of the used dating protocol without fading correction. However, the pIRIR signal may give rise to a large residual dose. For instance, Buylaert et al. (2012) reported residual doses of ~4–5 Gy from the pIRIR_{290}, which did not affect their old samples but are of significant importance for younger deposits. A set of modified pIRIR protocols (e.g. with stimulation temperature of 150°C and a preheat temperature of 180°C) were tested for Holocene coastal sediments and showed that the residual doses vary from 0.05–0.8 Gy (Madsen et al. 2011; Reimann et al. 2011; Reimann & Tsukamoto 2012). More recently, this protocol was also applied for dating Holocene aeolian sediments using K-feldspar (Long et al. 2014). These attempts for the Holocene sediments were carried out only for CG K-feldspar minerals, but have not been tested for polymineral fine grains. The sediment from deep lakes mainly consists of fine grains. In the current study, the newly proposed post-IR IRSL protocol discussed
above was first tested using polymineral FG samples from a lake sediment core from Tibet. Subsequently the luminescence dating results were compared with an independent 14C age control that is unrelated to any other luminescence method.

Study area and samples

Lake Tangra Yumco (latitude 30°45′–31°22′N, longitude 86°23′–86°49′E, altitude 4528 m a.s.l.) is located in the southern part of the TP (inset in Fig. 1A). This lake is a closed basin (Fig. 1A) with a surface area of 818 km² and a drainage area of 8219 km². Several streams, mainly from the west and south, drain into Tangra Yumco. A 162-cm-long gravity core, TAN10/4 (31°15′9.54″N, 86°43′22.14″E), was retrieved from the deepest area (water depth: 223 m, Wang et al. 2010) in the centre of the northern lake basin (Fig. 1A). Its main lithology is partly fine-laminated (1–5 mm), greyish silty clay with intercalated dark brownish to blackish fine sand layers (Fig. 1B). This main deposit is interrupted by four layers probably originating from abrupt sedimentation events (e.g. turbidites). After core splitting, one half was used for collecting luminescence dating material. Under the condition of subdued red light, the inner non-light-exposed part was collected for D2 determination; the outer ∼1 cm (potentially bleached material of this core) was taken for dose rate measurements. Eleven samples were obtained for luminescence dating (Fig. 1B), and five samples were collected for accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) 14C dating at Beta Analytic Inc. (Miami, Florida, USA).

Luminescence dating method

Sample preparation and equipment

The samples for D2 measurements were first wet sieved to obtain two fractions (>38 and <38 μm). The fraction of <38 μm was treated with 10% HCl and 30% H2O2 to remove any carbonates and organic matter. The FG
polyminal fraction was separated according to Stokes’ law. It was initially intended to use FG quartz for luminescence dating. The prepared polyminal samples were etched by 30% H$_3$SiF$_6$ (hydrofluorosilicic acid) for 3–4 days to obtain pure FG quartz extracts. Unfortunately, the quartz OSL signal intensity was too low to be detected (see below), suggesting that OSL dating may be impractical for routine use. Therefore, we used the IRSL signal from polyminal FG, which is dominated by luminescence emissions from feldspar, applying the pIRIR protocol for dating. Using deionized water, about 1 mg of each obtained polyminal FG or quartz sample was settled on an aluminium disc for luminescence measurements.

D$_e$ values were measured with an automated luminescence reader (Riso TL/OSL DA-15, Bøtter-Jensen et al. 2000) equipped with a $^{88}$Sr/$^{90}$Y beta source. For the quartz OSL, blue LEDs (470 nm) were used for stimulating the aliquots and a 7.5-mm Hoya U-340 filter was used as the signal detection filter in front of the photomultiplier tube. For the IR stimulation of polyminal FG, infrared LEDs (870 nm) were used and the IRSL signal was detected through a combination of Schott BG-39 and Corning 7–59 filters in the blue light spectrum between 320 and 450 nm.

Measurement protocol for equivalent dose estimation

Based on the single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) method (Murray & Wintle 2000), the applicability of an elevated temperature pIRIR SAR protocol originally proposed by Thomsen et al. (2008) was tested. Recently, Madsen et al. (2011) and Reimann et al. (2011) modified and applied this protocol for dating coastal sands of Holocene age, for which independent age control was available. The pIRIR SAR protocol (Table 1) applied in this study includes the measure of age control was available. The pIRIR SAR protocol (Table 1) applied in this study includes the measure of a low temperature IRSL signal at 50°C (IRSL$_{50}$) as well as an IR signal at an elevated temperature (at 150°C, pIRIR$_{150}$) after the IRSL 50 for dating young lake sediments following Reimann & Tsukamoto (2012). For the first SAR cycle, which measures the natural dose built up by the radiation field present in the natural environment, no laboratory dose was administrated. In the next step of the SAR cycle, a beta dose was given (step 1). Samples were then preheated for 60 s at 180°C (step 2), followed by an IR stimulation at 50°C for 100 s (step 3) and a second IR stimulation for 200 s by holding the sample temperature at 150°C (step 4); here the luminescence (L$_i$) was measured. Correction for sensitivity changes throughout the measurement cycle was carried out by application of a test dose (step 5) as part of the SAR procedure. The test dose (~6.1 Gy) signal was measured in a similar manner; the samples were first heated to 180°C (step 6) and then stimulated by IR diodes for 100 s at 50°C (step 7) followed by an IR stimulation for 200 s at 150°C (step 8) during which the test dose response (T$_i$) was measured. In practice, L$_i$ and T$_i$ were calculated using both the IRSL$_{50}$ and pIRIR$_{150}$ signals, in order to allow a comparison of the behaviour of the two signals (L$_i$ and T$_i$ of the IRSL$_{50}$ were measured in steps 3 and 7, respectively). For both the pIRIR$_{150}$ and IRSL$_{50}$ signals the integrated signal was calculated from the initial 10 s, less a background derived from the last 10 s.

Dose rate determination

Part of the sampled material from sediment core TAN10/4 was used for the measurement of water content and dose rate. Water contents were calculated by weighting the sediments before and after drying at 50°C for 3 days, with the weight loss expressed as a percentage of dry weight (10% error). The specific activities of radionuclides were measured by high-resolution gamma spectrometry (Murray et al. 1987). The radionuclide concentrations (U, Th and K content) of the surrounding sediment were also measured by neutron activation analysis (NAA). For the former method, the samples were dried at 130°C and ground for homogenization. Afterwards about 6 g of material for each sample was placed into a plastic container for measurements using a Well detector. The prepared samples were sealed and stored for 1 month to ensure equilibrium between 222Rn and 226Ra before determining the activities of natural U, 232Th and their daughters and 40K. Each sample was measured for 3–4 days. For submerged sediments (e.g. deep-lake sediments) disequilibria in the 232Th chain is rare because of the short half-lives of the 232Th daughters, but it is relatively common for the 238U chain (Olley et al. 1996), which may result in variable dose rate through burial time. In order to check if there are disequilibria in the current studied lake sediments, the activities of 238U (obtained from 222Rn), 226Ra (obtained from 218Bi and 214Pb, which are daughters of 226Ra) and 210Pb were compared. The cosmic dose contribution to the dose rate is negligible because the water depth of the core position is 223 m. Finally, the total dose rates were calculated using the conversion factors of Guérin et al. (2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Preheat at 180°C for 60 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IRSL measurement at 50°C for 100 s</td>
<td>Lx1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IRSL measurement at 150°C for 200 s</td>
<td>Lx2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Test dose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Preheat at 180°C for 60 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>IRSL measurement at 50°C for 100 s</td>
<td>Tx1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IRSL measurement at 150°C for 200 s</td>
<td>Tx2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Return to step 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and discussion

Dosimetry

The activities of radionuclides are summarized in Table 2. The comparison of the activities of $^{238}$U, $^{226}$Ra, and $^{210}$Pb are shown in Fig. 2A−C. Across the core, activities of $^{238}$U were generally higher than those of $^{226}$Ra (20−80%), and $^{210}$Pb (5−70%), except for the surface sample NL-129, which was due to natural atmospheric fall-out of $^{210}$Pb. The fall-out $^{210}$Pb on surface sediments normally results in an excess of $^{210}$Pb over $^{226}$Ra. However, this excess is unlikely to affect dose rate determinations significantly because any excess of $^{210}$Pb (half-life ~22 years) will decay away in <100 years after burial, and also because the dose rate derived directly from the decay of $^{210}$Pb and its daughters is small (Olley et al. 1996; Madsen et al. 2005). Furthermore, it was observed that the Ra-deficit (i.e. difference of $^{238}$U and $^{226}$Ra activities) increases with depth (Fig. 3). There are two possible causes for the uranium disequilibrium, i.e. uptake of U through time, and loss of Th at deposition. These two assumptions have been modelled below to consider the impact of U disequilibrium on the dose rates of sediments.

The first assumption requires that the concentration of U has increased with time. This interpretation would also require a very large amount of U in groundwater and a very large degree of scavenging by precipitated carbonate, which is possible in Tangra Yumco as the measured carbonate content of sediment core TAN10-4 ranges from 23.4 to 44.1% with an average of 35.6% (unpublished data). If this is the case, the disequilibrium is caused by postdepositional uptake of U, and uranium is supposed to be continuously taken up from circulating groundwater enriched in U after initial deposition of the sample. Thus, the average dose rate for the burial period for U was calculated from the sum of the dose rate based on the $^{226}$Ra activity (which indicates initial activity of all nuclides of the U decay chain) and dose rate originates from half of the measured U excess (assuming linear integration of $^{238}$U uptake since deposition). The calculated dose rates using this model (model 1) are shown in Table 3.

### Table 2. Summary of dosimetric information derived from gamma spectrometry analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sediment depth (cm)</th>
<th>$^{238}$U (Bq kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$^{226}$Ra (Bq kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$^{210}$Pb (Bq kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$^{232}$Th (Bq kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$^{40}$K (Bq kg$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL-129</td>
<td>0–8</td>
<td>93.2±2.0</td>
<td>73.3±0.6</td>
<td>143.7±3.8</td>
<td>77.7±0.3</td>
<td>803.1±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-130</td>
<td>16–24</td>
<td>107.9±2.2</td>
<td>77.7±0.4</td>
<td>87.3±2.1</td>
<td>79.1±0.3</td>
<td>802.9±8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-131</td>
<td>32–40</td>
<td>85.7±4.7</td>
<td>71.2±0.8</td>
<td>81.6±3.1</td>
<td>68.3±0.5</td>
<td>726.1±8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-132</td>
<td>48–56</td>
<td>102.7±4.3</td>
<td>84.9±0.7</td>
<td>86.3±3.9</td>
<td>77.0±0.4</td>
<td>835.2±8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-133</td>
<td>66–74</td>
<td>107.3±3.4</td>
<td>81.4±0.6</td>
<td>84.2±3.6</td>
<td>79.3±0.5</td>
<td>803.4±9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-134</td>
<td>80–88</td>
<td>114.0±4.4</td>
<td>75.3±0.6</td>
<td>81.7±4.3</td>
<td>78.0±0.5</td>
<td>819.3±7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-135</td>
<td>99–107</td>
<td>105.7±5.0</td>
<td>59.1±1.0</td>
<td>66.7±5.2</td>
<td>74.8±0.6</td>
<td>817.3±11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-136</td>
<td>112–120</td>
<td>120.4±3.2</td>
<td>69.7±0.5</td>
<td>75.3±2.8</td>
<td>75.6±0.4</td>
<td>778.6±6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-137</td>
<td>128–136</td>
<td>111.8±4.2</td>
<td>70.1±0.4</td>
<td>72.7±2.8</td>
<td>76.3±0.3</td>
<td>809.6±6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-138</td>
<td>146–154</td>
<td>108.0±6.4</td>
<td>68.1±0.8</td>
<td>66.7±4.3</td>
<td>80.7±0.6</td>
<td>847.0±10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL-139</td>
<td>155–162</td>
<td>120.8±5.5</td>
<td>67.9±0.6</td>
<td>70.7±3.2</td>
<td>80.3±0.4</td>
<td>811.7±7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Specific radionuclide activity ratios (A, B and C).

Fig. 3. Ra deficits (dots with error bars) for all samples fitted against depth with a saturating exponential function (solid curve).
The second assumption requires some prior $^{230}$Th separation during sediment transportation and sedimentation. In such a case one would expect the $^{226}$Ra to decay towards equilibrium with its parent $^{230}$Th with a half-life of 1600 years. The effect of this explanation is shown in Fig. 3, where the Ra deficit is plotted as a function of depth, and the data fitted with a simple saturating exponential curve ($^{226}$Ra = $A(1-e^{-kD})$); where $A$ is the saturation value calculated by this exponential fitting; $\lambda/k$ is the exponential constant, where $\lambda$ is the $^{226}$Ra decay constant, $k$ is the sedimentation rate and $D$ is the depth. The resulting value of $A$ is 65 Bq kg$^{-1}$, and $k$ was calculated to 0.045 cm a$^{-1}$, which fits the depositional rate based on the luminescence dates (see below). Thus, our data are consistent with the idea of $^{226}$Ra decay towards equilibrium with its $^{230}$Th parent.

$^{226}$Ra and its daughters account for about 75% of the dose rate from the $^{238}$U decay chain at secular equilibrium (Olley et al. 1996); disequilibria that involve $^{226}$Ra will therefore have a significant effect on the dose rate. Therefore, we need to take into account the variation in the dose rate derived from $^{226}$Ra and daughters with time to obtain the correct age. The dose rate calculated from natural radionuclides is divided into three parts: the first one is the equilibrium part for Th, K and the supported part of U, and the supported U is obtained from parent U minus $^{230}$Th, which was calculated from the fitting of Ra deficit with depth (Fig. 3); the second is the unsupported part only for $^{238}$U, $^{234}$Th, $^{234}$Pa and $^{234}$U, whose activities are larger than those of the rest of the daughters, and is calculated from the difference between the observed $^{226}$Ra and the supported part; the last one is excess $^{226}$Ra and its daughters, which was calculated iteratively, with the initial age estimates using the observed unsupported part. However, the actual activity of $^{226}$Ra must have been higher during the burial time than the observed unsupported part. We thus used the initial age to estimate how much more Ra existed on average compared with the supported part, which was incorporated into the iterative calculation. The iteration was stopped after about three times, when no further significant changes in dose rates and ages occurred. The iterative calculations were not applied to samples NL-129, NL-135, NL-136 and NL-139 as their ages were significantly overestimated owing to partial bleaching (see below). The dose rate results calculated by this model (model 2) are shown in Table 3 and indicate that both models yielded similar dose rates for the sediment samples except for samples NL-129, NL-135, NL-136 and NL-139. We applied the dose rates derived from both models for the final age calculations.

The radionuclide concentrations of all 11 samples were also determined by the NAA method (Fig. 4; Table 3). The NAA results show a systematic tendency to underestimate the gamma spectrometry results in Th (~2 ppm) and K (~0.5%) (Fig. 4B, C). The average values of the two methods were used to calculate the contribution of Th and K to the natural dose rate. The U contents obtained by the NAA method are close to the results derived from $^{234}$Th using gamma spectrometry (Fig. 4A), however, this method cannot detect U-series disequilibrium. Thus, it is improper to use the U values based on NAA analysis to calculate the dose rate for such an environment.

Considering that there is no evidence for systematic trends in water content with depth in the sediment core (Fig. 4D), we assumed negligible impacts of compaction on the water content of sediments from core TAN10/4. As a result, the measured water content, representing a minimum estimate, was used for luminescence age calculations.

**Luminescence characteristics**

Figure 5A shows two representative examples of decay curves from the FG quartz tests, showing a very low natural OSL signal. All 11 FG quartz samples showed...
very dim natural and regenerated OSL signals. Only several tens of counts for the first 0.16 s of stimulation were obtained when a test dose of ∼6.1 Gy was applied. Thus, the quartz mineral was not considered to be suitable for luminescence dating in the current case. Subsequently we focused on the IRSL signal of polymineral FG for dating. In Fig. 5B an example for a natural IRSL$_{50}$ decay curve and the subsequently measured pIRIR$_{150}$ decay curve for one aliquot of sample NL-138, together with the dose response curves of both signals for the same aliquot, are shown.

It is commonly accepted that three routine tests should be carried out for any new SAR protocol used for equivalent dose determination, i.e. examination of the recycling ratio, recuperation and dose recovery (Murray & Wintle 2000; Buylaert et al. 2009). Figure 6A summarizes the recycling ratios for the pIRIR$_{150}$ signal, in which the sensitivity-corrected luminescence intensity observed from the first regenerative dose is divided by the corrected intensity observed when the same dose is repeated at the end of the SAR measurement sequence (Murray & Wintle 2000). The overall recycling ratio for all samples in this study is 1.020 ± 0.003 (n = 126), illustrating that the sensitivity correction worked well and that the measurements taken following the laboratory irradiations are reproducible. Figure 6B summarizes the recuperation values, that is, the response to a zero-Gy laboratory dose, measured just after the SAR cycle for the natural dose. These signals are expressed as a percentage of the sensitivity-corrected natural luminescence. Recuperation for most of the measured aliquots was less than 10% and the average for all measured aliquots was 4.761 ± 0.177% (n = 126) of the natural signal. This was considered acceptably small.

In order to further confirm the suitability of the measurement protocol used, dose recovery tests were undertaken for the 11 samples (three aliquots for each sample). First, the aliquots were bleached with a solar simulator (Hönle SOL2) lamp for 4 h (e.g. Reimann et al. 2011; Buylaert et al. 2012). They were then given a known dose of ∼7.3 Gy and measured using the pIRIR protocol shown in Table 1. All data for the dose recovery tests of the 11 samples (33 discs in total) are presented in Fig. 7A, demonstrating that all measured-to-given dose ratios for pIRIR$_{150}$ signal are between 0.9 and 1.1 after subtracting the residual dose mentioned below. The mean dose-recovery ratio was 0.988 ± 0.005. This suggests that our protocol is able to accurately measure doses given in the laboratory before heating and optical treatment. All these laboratory tests indicate that the overall behaviour of the SAR protocol when applied to the pIRIR signals from the samples appears to be satisfactory.

In addition, signal bleaching is of particular importance in luminescence dating of young samples. It is known that feldspar luminescence is bleached by daylight more slowly than the OSL from quartz (Murray et al. 2012 and references therein). Furthermore, elevated temperature IRSL bleaches more slowly than IRSL stimulated at low temperatures (Buylaert et al. 2012; Reimann & Tsukamoto 2012). Residual doses were measured using three aliquots for each sample after exposure to Hönle SOL2 for 4 h. The tests showed that the residual doses ranged between 0.2 and 0.8 Gy (Fig. 7B), resulting in possible age overestimation of approximately 80 to 250 a for the samples under study. These residual doses are much lower than those of the pIRIR signals measured at a much higher temperature. For instance, Buylaert et al. (2012) reported a residual dose of ∼4–5 Gy (equivalent to ∼2–3 ka) for the pIRIR$_{150}$ signal from modern samples. The current study suggests the effectiveness of reducing the residual dose by using a much lower preheat temperature of
180°C and pIRIR stimulation at 150°C. These residual doses are comparable to the values (0.3–0.8 Gy) reported by Reimann & Tsukamoto (2012) for young (<500 a) coastal K-feldspar (CG fraction) from Germany, but much larger than observations (0.05–0.07 Gy) made by Madsen et al. (2011) and obtained from pIRIR of modern coastal and aeolian sediments from New Zealand. It is noted that the residual doses are different from one another for the studied 11 samples and that there is a slight increasing trend with $D_e$ (Fig. 7C). Considering that the residual dose is not negligible compared with the $D_e$ values of the late Holocene sediments, the measured residual doses were subtracted from the measured $D_e$ values for age calculation.

**Fading tests**

It is well known that most feldspar samples suffer from anomalous fading. Huntley & Lamothe (2001) showed that the luminescence signal decays linearly with the logarithm of time ($t$) and attributed this loss to anomalous fading. Anomalous fading is usually quantified by the $g$-value, which represents the signal loss per decade of normalized storage time. A dose similar to the natural dose of each sample was given by the beta source and the normalized luminescence was measured following various time delays. The pIRIR protocol described above (Table 1) was used to calculate $g$-values for the IRSL$_{50}$ and the pIRIR$_{150}$ using six aliquots for each sample. Preheat was conducted immediately following the irradiation, and thus prior to the delays (Auclair et al. 2003). The $g$-value of each aliquot was obtained by fitting a linear regression line to the sensitivity-corrected IRSL and pIRIR signals as a function of logarithmic normalized storage time (Fig. 8A). It shows a pronounced difference in the slopes of the fitted linear function between the two feldspar signals, which is equivalent to the $g$-value. Figure 8B shows the comparison of $g$-values for both IRSL$_{50}$ and pIRIR$_{150}$ signals derived from a representative sample (NL-130), ranging from 2.5±0.9 to 4.1±1.0%/decade and from 0.2±0.9 to 0.8±1.0%/decade, respectively.
The IRSL$_{50}$ and pIRIR$_{150}$ $g$-values for all discs are summarized as histograms in Fig. 9A. The mean pIRIR$_{150}$ $g$-value is $0.76 \pm 0.07$%/decade and the relative standard deviation (rsd) is 78% ($n = 66$), which is much lower than the mean IR$_{50}$ $g$-value of $3.00 \pm 0.08$%/decade (rsd = 22%, $n = 66$). The average of six aliquots was calculated together with the standard error for each sample, which ranged between $2.1 \pm 0.9$ and $3.7 \pm 0.9$%/decade for the IRSL$_{50}$ and between $0.1 \pm 0.9$ and $1.3 \pm 1.1$%/decade for the pIRIR$_{150}$ (Fig. 9B; Table 4). The $g$-values for the pIRIR signal are significantly lower compared with the $g$-values of the IRSL, or even negligible. These results are similar for the pIRIR$_{150}$ signal of K-rich feldspar from young coastal sediments in Germany (Reimann & Tsukamoto 2012) and New Zealand (Madsen et al. 2011), although their IRSL$_{50}$ $g$-values were all above 5 %/decade. Thus, we propose that the use of the pIRIR$_{150}$ signal is able to reduce anomalous fading even to a negligible level, and so we used the $D_e$ values (Fig. 3E, Table 4) derived from the pIRIR$_{150}$ signal for the luminescence age calculation.

Even though $g$-values of the pIRIR$_{150}$ signal for three of the 11 samples (e.g. $\sim 1.0$–$1.5$%/decade for samples NL-131, NL-134 and NL-136; this fading rate would predict age underestimation of $\sim 10\%$ or more) apparently suggest anomalous fading to a certain degree, there is no need to correct the pIRIR$_{150}$ ages for anomalous fading. This is because the laboratory fading experiments should not be considered as convincing evidence for loss of trapped charge during storage, especially for such low fading rates of 1.0–1.5%/decade; most likely these low apparent fading rates are an artefact of our measurement procedure, for instance because of inaccurate sensitivity correction after storage (Buylaert et al. 2012). In reality, even quartz samples, which are widely accepted to give stable signals on the time scales used here (Murray & Wintle 1999), can have mean $g$-values of $0.98 \pm 0.08$%/decade (Buylaert et al. 2012). By comparison with an independent age control as well as quartz OSL ages, Reimann & Tsukamoto (2012) confirmed the accuracy of pIRIR$_{150}$ ages that were not corrected for anomalous fading. This further supports the suggestion above that
the pIRIR150 signal should not be corrected for any apparent laboratory fading, and indicates that the measured (very low) fading rate for the pIRIR150 signal may be a laboratory artefact, as suggested by Buylaert et al. (2011). Consequently, no fading correction was applied when using the pIRIR150 De for age calculation.

Equivalent dose and age determination

For each sample 10–12 aliquots were used for each De measurement. All De estimates derived from the pIRIR150 signal for the 11 samples are summarized in Table 4 and plotted against depth in Fig. 3E. After subtracting the residual doses the pIRIR150 De were divided by dose rates derived from gamma spectrometry and NAA measurements to obtain luminescence ages (Table 4). As shown in Fig. 10, the two sets of luminescence ages are almost consistent with each other within errors. Surface samples, generally considered to be around zero age, can be used to check if dated sediments are fully bleached or insufficiently bleached prior to deposition. However, unfortunately our uppermost sediment sample (NL-129) yielded a significant age overestimation. This is not surprising because the dated material for this sample contains redeposited sediments of an assumed turbidite layer (2–5 cm sediment depth, grey bar in Fig. 10), which were identified macroscopically, as well as with magnetic susceptibility and grain-size analyses, although this was not evident during the sampling in the dark room. Turbidites are potential problems for luminescence dating (especially for the pIRIR signal) because they may not have received sufficient exposure to sunlight to reset the luminescence signal prior to burial. Turbidites undergo a rapid transport (Shanahan et al. 2013) and subaquatic relocation of the material within a lake is very likely. This assumption is confirmed by three other layers influenced by redeposition. Three additional samples (NL-135, NL-136 and NL-139) also include turbidite layers (Figs 1B, 10), resulting in age overestimations. Thus, the ages of these four samples containing turbidites were omitted from the luminescence data set to construct the age framework of sediment core TAN10/4 (Fig. 11). The ages of the remaining seven samples are in stratigraphical order within the uncertainties. By extrapolating a linear fit of these ages to the core top, we obtained a surface age that is close to zero (within uncertainty), implying a sufficient resetting of the used luminescence signal (pIRIR150 signal) for dating and thus the applicability of our methods.

Comparison between pIRIR150 and 14C ages

The dose recovery test, a key screening test to check how suitable a chosen dating procedure is, suggested that our protocol is able to accurately measure doses given in the laboratory (see discussion above). However, this test can only inform about the reliability of laboratory-administered doses and behaviour, and cannot be used to assess the likelihood of accurately measuring the natural De (e.g. Roberts 2012). For this reason, it is important to be able to compare the dates generated using the pIRIR protocol against an independent age control (e.g. 14C dating). Five radiocarbon ages from the studied sediment core were obtained, which are summarized in Table 5 and plotted against the depth scale in Fig. 11. Terrestrial plant macrofossils are well known to be most suitable for radiocarbon dating of lake sediments, as they are not affected by old carbon reservoir effects (Long et al. 2011), and the radiocarbon age of a wood fragment in one of the samples (lab ID: Beta-295005) may thus represent the timing of the corresponding deposition event. As shown in Fig. 11, the calibrated 14C age of the wood sample (shown by blue diamond) lies on the regression line.
line (line A in Fig. 11) of pIRIR ages within errors, suggesting that the two dating techniques are in good agreement. Thus, the pIRIR ages and radiocarbon age of the wood sample are assumed to represent the best approximation for the depositional ages as no impact of old carbon on the $^{14}$C dating of this wood sample is expected, further confirming the reliability of pIRIR dates of the current study.

For the data set of $^{14}$C ages, except for the wood sample, the remaining $^{14}$C ages are generally in

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Sample & Sediment depth (cm) & g-value (%/decade) & pIRIR$_{150}$ D$_e$ (Gy) & pIRIR$_{150}$ residual dose (Gy) & Residual corrected D$_e$ (Gy) & Luminescence age (a) \\
& & pIRIR$_{150}$ & IRSL$_{50}$ & & & Model 1 & Model 2 \\
\hline
NL-129 & 0-8 & 0.40 ± 0.97 & 2.70 ± 0.88 & 19.47 ± 0.26 & 0.68 ± 0.06 & 18.81 ± 0.26 & NL-129 & 3860 ± 300 \\
NL-130 & 16-24 & 0.50 ± 0.96 & 3.30 ± 0.95 & 2.40 ± 0.07 & 0.59 ± 0.08 & 1.81 ± 0.10 & 520 ± 30 & 480 ± 40 \\
NL-131 & 32-40 & 1.37 ± 1.09 & 3.70 ± 0.96 & 3.18 ± 0.09 & 0.46 ± 0.08 & 2.72 ± 0.11 & 910 ± 60 & 820 ± 60 \\
NL-132 & 48-56 & 0.90 ± 1.05 & 3.10 ± 0.94 & 3.32 ± 0.10 & 0.41 ± 0.05 & 2.91 ± 0.11 & 990 ± 60 & 940 ± 60 \\
NL-133 & 66-74 & 0.80 ± 1.10 & 3.40 ± 0.95 & 5.15 ± 0.08 & 0.43 ± 0.01 & 4.72 ± 0.08 & 1550 ± 80 & 1410 ± 90 \\
NL-134 & 80-88 & 1.20 ± 1.11 & 3.40 ± 1.00 & 7.22 ± 0.09 & 0.41 ± 0.06 & 6.81 ± 0.11 & 2010 ± 100 & 1760 ± 120 \\
NL-135 & 99-107 & 0.50 ± 1.12 & 3.10 ± 1.00 & 10.45 ± 0.12 & 0.45 ± 0.02 & 9.19 ± 0.12 & 3740 ± 180 \\
NL-136 & 112-120 & 1.30 ± 1.07 & 2.90 ± 0.94 & 12.48 ± 0.28 & 0.37 ± 0.07 & 12.03 ± 0.29 & 4280 ± 220 \\
NL-137 & 128-136 & 0.60 ± 0.98 & 2.20 ± 0.93 & 9.03 ± 0.13 & 0.54 ± 0.08 & 8.49 ± 0.15 & 2700 ± 130 & 2210 ± 140 \\
NL-138 & 146-154 & 0.07 ± 0.97 & 2.07 ± 0.94 & 9.14 ± 0.15 & 0.50 ± 0.02 & 8.64 ± 0.15 & 2880 ± 140 & 2370 ± 150 \\
NL-139 & 155-162 & 0.60 ± 1.00 & 2.30 ± 0.99 & 30.30 ± 0.31 & 0.69 ± 0.06 & 33.61 ± 0.32 & 10 710 ± 500 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Luminescence dating results (ages corrected for residual dose, but not for fading).}
\end{table}
stratigraphical order, and these ages were used to construct a 

\[ ^{14} \text{C} \] age-depth model by linear interpolation (dashed line B in Fig. 11). Obviously, there is a significant offset between both sets of age models, which suggests that the bulk organic-based \(^{14} \text{C} \) ages are overall \(-2 \text{ ka}\) older than luminescence ages. Based on the robustness of luminescence dating for the TAN10/4 core, pIRIR ages are interpreted as directly indicating depositional ages. Accordingly, the age discrepancy between the luminescence and radiocarbon ages in this core probably results from the overestimation of radiocarbon ages, which is most likely caused by incorporation of old carbon. Thus, the offset between the two dating methods can be attributed to a reservoir effect. This interpretation is confirmed by the \(^{14} \text{C} \) dates themselves because the surface sediments, which should contain modern carbon, have an age of \(2140 \pm 30 \text{ a BP} \), giving evidence for a significant reservoir effect.

Conclusions

Radioactive disequilibria in the uranium decay chain have been detected in lacustrine sediments from the Tangra Yumco. According to gamma spectrometry measurements, two models were used to account for the dynamic dose rates for age calculation. With regard to equivalent dose determination, we tested the applicability of a new pIRIR measurement protocol using polynuclear FG to date deep-lake sediments from the Tibetan Plateau. Anomalous fading of this pIRIR \(_{150} \) signal is negligible for the young samples studied, and thus the pIRIR \(_{150} \) signal was used for dating the sediment core from Tangra Yumco. Turbidite deposition caused insufficient resetting of the pIRIR signal and thus apparent overestimation of four luminescence ages. However, the remaining luminescence data derived from the pIRIR \(_{150} \) signal show ages in stratigraphical order, and their robustness is further confirmed by good agreement with the \(^{14} \text{C} \) age of a woody (terrestrial) plant remain. Compared with the luminescence based age-depth model, \(^{14} \text{C} \) dating generally yielded age overestimations, which may be attributable to hardwater reservoir effects in Tangra Yumco. As shown in this study, we emphasize that applying one single dating method is inappropriate for the lacustrine sediments for the TP, and thus multi-dating approaches are needed.
Table 5. Results of radiocarbon dating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab ID (Beta-)</th>
<th>Sediment depth (cm)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>(^{13}C) (%)</th>
<th>Age (a BP)</th>
<th>Age(^{c}) (cal. a BP)</th>
<th>Converted age(^{c}) (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>295002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Bulk organic matter</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>2140±30</td>
<td>2040–2160</td>
<td>2100–2220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295003</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bulk organic matter</td>
<td>-21.8</td>
<td>3450±40</td>
<td>3620–3830</td>
<td>3680–3890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295004</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Bulk organic matter</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>3410±40</td>
<td>3560–3730</td>
<td>3620–3790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295005</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>-24.5</td>
<td>2480±30</td>
<td>2450–2720</td>
<td>2510–2780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295006</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Bulk organic matter</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>5260±50</td>
<td>5920–6130</td>
<td>5980–6190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)The \(^{14}C\) ages were calibrated to calendar years using the CALIB 6.1.0 program (Stuiver et al. 2011) with the internationally agreed IntCal09 data set (Reimer et al. 2009).

\(^2\)The \(^{14}C\) ages were adjusted to before 2010 (coring year) by plus 60 a for comparison with luminescence ages.
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